

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/776,766	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KNORRING ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jared W. Newton	<b>Art Unit</b> 3634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).

(2) Jeremy Kriegel (Attorney).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 July 2006

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claims 12 and 18*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*


**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed including the rack limitations set forth in Apparatus claim 12 into method claim 18 in order to put claim 18 into condition for allowance. The Attorney agreed to the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR.  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)